| Risk.... | Page Number | Q1 Rating | Q1 Matrix | Q2 Rating | Q2 Matrix | Q3 Rating | Q3 Matrix | Q4 Rating | Q4 Matrix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRR13 - Financial Framework and Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) | 6 | $21$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ |  | $28$ |  | $28$ |  |
| CRR15-In-Year Financial Deficit | 7 | $21$ |  | $21$ |  | $21$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ \square \end{gathered}$ |  |
| CRR9 - Safeguarding Vulnerable Children | 8 | $21$ |  | $21$ |  | $21$ |  | $21$ |  |
| CRR48 - Failure to meet the affordable housing needs of the City by failing to meet the Project 1000 Delivery target (Replaced CRR32) | 9 | 21 <br> NEW RISK |  | $21$ |  | $21$ |  | $21$ |  |
| CRR12 - Emergency planning measures and resources overwhelmed by scope and scale of an emergency or incident faced by the council | 11 | $21$ |  | $21$ |  | $21$ |  | $14$ |  |
| CRR52 - Fire Safety in High Rise residential buildings | 12 |  |  |  |  | 21 <br> Escalated from service risk registers |  | $21$ |  |
| CRR51 - Risk that ASC financial unsustainability due to national and local pressures leads to a failure to deliver statutory duties and budgetary control | 14 |  |  |  |  | $21$ <br> NEW RISK |  | $21$ |  |
| CRR53 - Risk that increased social worker and occupational therapist vacancies and sickness rates will result in vulnerable adults' care being compromised | 15 |  |  |  |  | $20$ <br> NEW RISK |  | 20 |  |
| CRR39 - Adult and Social Care major provider/supplier failure | 16 | $21$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $15$ |  |


| Risk.... | Page Number | Q1 Rating | Q1 Matrix | Q2 Rating | Q2 Matrix | Q3 Rating | Q3 Matrix | Q4 Rating | Q4 Matrix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRR7 - Cyber Security | 17 | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  |
| CRR25 - Suitability of Line of Business (LOB) systems | 18 | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | 20 <br> $\square$ |  |
| CRR40 - Unplanned Investment in Subsidiary Companies | 19 | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | 20 |  |
| CRR49 - Workforce Resilience | 20 | 21 <br> NEW RISK |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | 20 <br> $\square$ |  |
| CRR41 - Capital Portfolio Delivery | 22 | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  |
| CRR37-Homelessness | 24 | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  |
| CRR43 - Lack of progress for Mass Transit Impact on city | 26 | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  | $20$ |  |
| CRR45 - Failure to deliver statutory duty in respect of Children | 27 | $9$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ \end{array}$ |  | $15$ |  | $20$ $\pm$ $\square$ |  |
| CRR10 - Safeguarding Adults at Risk with Care and support needs | 28 | 15 |  | $15$ |  | $15$ |  | 15 |  |


| Risk.... | Page Number | Q1 Rating | Q1 Matrix | Q2 Rating | Q2 Matrix | Q3 Rating | Q3 Matrix | Q4 Rating | Q4 Matrix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRR6 - Fraud and Corruption | 29 | $15$ |  | $\overline{15}$ |  | $\overline{15}$ |  | $\overline{15}$ |  |
| CRR27 - Failure to deliver the Capital Transport Programme Delivery | 31 | $15$ |  | $15$ |  | $15$ |  | $15$ |  |
| CRR5 - Business Continuity and Operational Resilience. | 32 | $15$ |  | $15$ |  | $15$ |  | $15$ |  |
| CRR26-ICT Resilience. | 33 | $14$ |  | $14$ |  | $14$ |  | $14$ |  |
| CRR29 - Information Security Management System (ISMS) | 34 | 10 |  | $10$ |  | $10$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 咅 } & \\ \text { 害 } & 0 \\ \text { Impact }\end{array}$ | $10$ |  |
| CRR4 - Failure to Deliver an effective Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing Framework | 35 | $15$ |  | 10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ |  | $10$ |  |
| CRR18 - Failure to deliver enough new homes to meet Mayoral and Annual Business Plan targets. (Formerly 'Failure to deliver enough homes to meet the City's needs') | 37 | $15$ |  | 10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ |  | $10$ |  |
| CRR54 - Financial sustainability of nursery schools | ??? | 15 |  | $15$ |  | $15$ |  | 21 <br> Escalated |  |

Opportunity Risk Performance Summary

| Risk | Page Number | Q1 Rating | Q1 Matrix | Q2 Rating | Q2 Matrix | Q3 Rating | Q3 Matrix | Q4 Rating | Q4 Matrix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPP1 - One City Approach | 38 | $21$ |  | $21$ |  | $21$ |  |  |  |


| Risk | Page Number | Q1 Rating | Q1 Matrix | Q2 Rating | Q2 Matrix | Q3 Rating | Q3 Matrix | Q4 Rating | Q4 Matrix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BCCC5 - Cost of Living Crisis impact on Citizens and Communities | 39 | $28$ <br> NEW RISK |  | $28$ |  | $28$ |  | $28$ |  |
| BCCC1 - Flooding | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |
| BCCC4 - Winter diseases including COVID-19 and Flu (formerly COVID-19 Population Health) | 41 |  |  | 9 |  | $9$ |  | $9$ |  |

## Risk Trend Key

| Arrow | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | The risk rating has improved from the previous quarter, having <br> reduced in its severity. |
|  | The risk rating has deteriorated from the previous quarter, having <br> increased in its severity. |
|  | The risk rating has not changed from the previous quarter. |

## Threat Risks



Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and Director of Finance (S151 Officer).

## Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance

## Strategic Theme: Our Organisation

Summary of Progress: The 2023/24 budget has been agreed by full council in a balanced position. The 2023/24 does require significant savings to be delivered. The 5 -year MTFP remains unbalanced in the latter years.




| 6. Balance between addressing need for family homes V increased viability of delivering smaller units |  |  | manage risk and unblock internal barriers to delivery |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Development. |  |  | Develop a new framework of appraisal parameters and agree a clear funding programme approach for HRA delivery | October 2022 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | . Review structure and capacity of current Housing Delivery Team to ensure the team has the ability to meet Project 1000 and HRA Business plan targets for direct delivery | December 2022 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | Maximise capital funding from Homes England, WECA and DLUHC to address the complexities and additional costs of delivering an affordable housing programme on brownfield sites, including looking at ways of developing a strategic approach with key funding partners to meet infrastructure and abnormal costs. | March 2025 | 60\% |
| Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and Homes | Summary of Progress. Concerns remain in the construction sector around materials cost and labour availability that remain a risk to affordable housing delivery within the current timescales. This may delay delivery beyond 2023/24 or at worst case mean sites are no longer viable and are not brought forward for development, effecting the longer-term pipeline. There are currently 1,300 affordable homes in active development and many more to commence on site in 2023/24, contributing to the totals for delivery in the coming years. |  |  |  |  |
| Strategic Theme: Fair and Inclusive |  |  |  |  |  |



Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and Homes

Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing

Summary of Progress: Tendered for provider to complete FRAs Tendered for framework of providers to carry out FRAEW Action plan in place to meet new fire safety regulatory requirements including communication and signage (risk of meeting compliance scored separately). Interim measures (waking watch) in place and budget provision for EPS removal, interim measures, and sprinkler programme in place. Risk can be reviewed when new inspection programmes implemented and regs action plan is completed.

-The consequence of this risk are that appropriate and effective care and support as required under the Care Act may not be possible for all those who require it. The consequence could be felt in the quality or quantity of care and support, or in both.
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education \& Equalities
Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care \& Integrated Care System
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing
challenges, price control, practice, and integration

Summary of Progress: The score remains the same due to continued pressure on the ASC care budget. Currently there are mitigations in place to address this but going into $23 / 24$ there is around $£ 9 \mathrm{~m}$ pressure on the care budget and around $£ 6 \mathrm{~m}$ savings agreed that will need to be delivered.

| Threat Risk | Trend $\quad$ Current Risk A | sessment $\quad$ Risk To | Risk Tolerance Level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Title: CRR53 - Risk that increased social worker and occupational therapist vacancies and sickness rates will result in vulnerable adults' care being compromised. <br> Description: Limited staff capacity within operational teams will result in increased waiting times for assessment and review potentially putting vulnerable adults at risk of going without sufficient care and support. | Constant <br> Likelihood $=3$ Impact = 7 | Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3 |  | $\square$ <br> ct |
| Risk Causes: <br> -Difficulties recruiting and retain experienced social workers and OTs. This is in line with national picture of increasing vacancy rates in statutory adult care social care departments across the country. These vacancies are not distributed equally with some operational teams having nearly $50 \%$ vacant posts. <br> Sickness absence in operational teams have also increased during this period which is further compounding operational teams' ability to respond to those in most urgent need. <br> -Cost of living crisis is also likely to impact on retention rates of social work staff | Existing Controls <br> Control <br> - Increase Social Work and OT Apprentice capacity ASC have doubled the amount of SW and OT apprentices this year increasing to 6 SW Apprentices per year and 2 OT apprentices. <br> - Operational Business Continuity plans duty - All operational teams have internal prioritisation process for workflow and demand. Additionally, they have | Mitigating Ac <br> Action Title <br> Review AMHP Market Supplement <br> Recruit Non- registered Social Care <br> Practitioners to bolster workforce - <br> Agreed to recruit Social Care <br> Practitioners and OT aides on a fixed <br> term basis to off sent challenges in | Due Date <br> January <br> 2023 <br> October <br> 2022 | Progres s 100\% <br> 100\% |

## Risk Consequences:

As a result of this decreased operational capacity this has seen an increase in numbers of people waiting for assessment and reviews (insert data)
-The percentage of individuals who have had an annual review of their care and support needs has also decreased in the last year with less than $50 \%$ of individual in receipt of care and support having had a formal review.

Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director Adult Social Care.

Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care \& Integrated Care System

Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.
robust duty systems in place with duty workers present to respond to urgent demands or cases to mitigate against highest risk of harm to citizens and respond in a timely way to those at greatest need.

- Recruitment Strategy - Developed new recruitment strategy and implemented rolling recruitment advert.
- Developing enhanced Wellbeing offer for operational staff - dedicated additional resource within Adult Workforce L\&D to enhance our wellbeing and support offer.

Summary of Progress: Operational capacity within ASC continues to be stretched. Currently average practitioner capacity sits at $70 \%$ which includes vacancies and all absences. This varies significantly across teams and localities with 3 operational teams below $50 \%$. This has been impacted further by delays resulting from further vacancy management and dispensation process.


## Risk Consequences:

Citizens (many of whom are very vulnerable) may have services ended or reduced without much notice putting them at risk and causing distress
Lack of suitable local provision may mean people moving away from community, support networks
Lack of alternative provision should mean not meeting statutory duties under Care Act
Pressures on ASC workforce (social work, contracts, brokerage commissioning etc) to review and find alternative provision in timely manner
Financial pressures as demand may drive prices up
Lack of suitable provision resulting people moving to inappropriate more costly provision (e.g. care home instead of home care) Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director Adult Social Care.

Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care \& Integrated Care System

## Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and

 Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.Support Services, ECH) has a multi-disciplinary Business Relations team which assess risks to those provisions and plan response whether QA or Commissioning

- Provider Sustainability Panel is a forum where ASC can assess the financial issues facing individual provider and consider support options
- Regular meetings with a) key Strategic Providers in the city b) all provider forums and regular dialogue with Care and Support West Care Association
- Daily assessment of supply - via Brokerage team, Business relationship team and Contracts
- Strategic Planning and information sharing with CCG, other LAs and other key stakeholders - Great integration across BNSSG and joint problem solving, sharing of information and resources.
- Provider Failure/Service Interruption Process

| Fair Cost of Care exercise | October 2022 | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cost of Living Work | October 2022 | $100 \%$ |
| Update of Provider Failure <br> Procedure | December 2022 | $50 \%$ |

Summary of Progress: In recent quarter we have experienced planned closures of services. There is a likelihood of continued planned closures and care providers consolidating their service provision at regular intervals.


- Historic lack of focus.


## Risk Consequences:

a. Information security incidents resulting in loss of personal data or breach of privacy / confidentiality.
b. Safeguarding data breach impacting on safety of vulnerable child or adult.
c. Risk of breaching the regulations and being subject to penalties/fines - Regulations Fines increasing from up to $£ 500,000$ to $10-20 \mathrm{~m}$ Euros of $4 \%$ of global turnover, enforced by the Information Commissioners Office on behalf of the European Union.
d. Increased litigation.
e. Reputational damage

Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive, Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).

Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance
users react to this type of Cyber Attack. Anyone clicking on links is directed towards targeted training.
2. Targeted Training of employees - The Information Governance and ICT team will continue to work together to support the SIRO to develop appropriate targeted training for all Council staff relating to cyber security. developed by IG and ICT Teams
3. Technical controls
4. Security team training

Summary of Progress. No change to the score currently. Progress is being made in addressing some large and complex areas of concern, such as the creation of a Security Operations Centre (SOC) \& configuration and updates of our Security Incident Event Monitoring (SIEM). Support is being given from 3rd Part SMEs and the DSP.
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation




| of the companies. <br> Failure to ensure the right leadership with the right skills across the Companies. | 1. Audit and Risk Committee - Supports on issues of risk, control and governance <br> 2. Board Effectiveness Reviews to be annual workforce planning <br> 3. Continued monitoring of the impact of External issues such as COVID on the business and adaptive approach being proposed for optimising emerging opportunities and mitigating pressures <br> 4. Effective engagement with BHL re reserved matter decisions and wider engagement with BCC Client teams to review performance, quality and set clear KPIs <br> 5. Shareholding Group <br> 6. Weekly progress review provided and regular review of assumptions, cash flow and risks |  | 1. Align Risk Management Arrangements Between BCC/BHL | April 2023 | 50\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Failure due to severe economic downturn caused by external factors (incl. Pandemic \& Brexit). <br> Service delivery failure as a result of specific market changes (e.g., recyclate market, housing market, volatility in gas and electric market prices, delays in timing of income from customer heat network connections), failure to secure planning etc. <br> Delivery of BE2020 wind up within financial envelope. <br> Legislation changes. <br> Cyber Security - risk that key systems are compromised and that sensitive data is stolen Failure to develop and grow commercial trading activities |  |  | 2.BCC Capital Strategy limits BCC exposure to loans | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { December } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | 100\% |
| Risk Consequences: <br> - Financial Loss <br> - Reputational damage to the council <br> - Impact to service provision provided by subsidiary companies |  |  | 3.Business Plan for Holding Companies 23/24 | March 2023 | 0\% |
|  |  |  | 4.Business Plan for Holdings Companies | March 2022 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | 5. Capital Programme | March 2022 | 100\% |
| Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and S151 Officer. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance | Summary of Progress: Risk Parameters remain same. Indication of Financial support for BWC identified in the latest BWC Budget for this year and next year. |  |  |  |  |
| Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing |  |  |  |  |  |



| and objectives |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Causes: <br> Failure to recruit - particularly in specialist areas where the market is highly competitive COVID-19 impact in labour market and workforce sickness <br> High levels of staff turnover High staff sickness levels Ineffective prioritisation of workloads | Existing Controls |  | Mitigating Actions |  |  |
|  | Control |  | Action Title | Due Date | Progress |
|  | - Agreements in place with employment businesses for the supply of contingent workforce; agency and statement of works <br> - Promotion of apprenticeships and internal progression opportunities <br> - Regular and close review of management information (through HR Dashboards and leavers survey) to monitor turnover, staff starters/exits to enable targeted actions to be taken <br> - Stress risk assessments, supporting attendance policy, occupational health advice and Employee Assistance Programme are in place to minimise the incidence and length of sickness absence. A refreshed stress risk assessment has been developed through consultation with trade unions and staff led groups and is due for launch in December 22. <br> - Support for managers with future workforce planning and succession planning, with bespoke action plans to target diversity and skills gaps <br> - Consideration of impact of cost of living and winter pressures, encouraging take up of booster and flu jabs and review the facilities available in the workplace <br> - Introduction of an agile self-assessment form - for managers to discuss with team members and put in place actions to help ensure a workplace that is suitable for their physical and mental wellbeing <br> - Prioritisation of tasks to better manage workforce pressures |  | Analysis of staff feedback (from surveys and team discussions) to take targeted action to support the resilience and wellbeing of the workforce. This includes the introduction of workshops, e-learning resources, training courses, coaching and advice, in addition to the Employee Assistance Programme | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2022 \end{aligned}$ | 100\% |
| Risk Consequences: <br> Key services fail - inability to meet service demands Statutory and/ or regulatory obligations are not delivered <br> Strategic priorities and aims are not delivered. The council becomes unfocused and demand led. Increasing levels of sickness absence Higher staff turnover and loss of talent HSE/Legal action Reputational damage Poor customer satisfaction leading to complaints and requests for compensation |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Workforce Strategy is currently being refreshed and will have workforce resilience and wellbeing as a primary theme | March 2023 | 75\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive, Director of Workforce and Change | Summary of Progress: This risk remains as High due to the adverse impact of the budget saving proposals may have on workforce resilience and wellbeing, the impact of the continued vacancy controls, capacity in hard to fill roles, and the impact this will have on service resilience. |  |  |  |  |
| Portfolio Flag: City Economy, Finance \& Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Strategic Theme: Our Organisation


## Strategic Theme: Our

 Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing
## Communities / Social

The capital portfolio contains works that if delayed could have a sever but manageable negative impact on vulnerable groups/individuals (school places, affordable homes, transport infrastructure etc). Management responses to risk areas below will help manage the impact on this.

## Environmenta

The capital portfolio is a high waste creator and polluter. It also offers significant opportunity to construct and install tech and infrastructure essential to meeting strategic aims and reducing its negative impact on the environment in the delivery phase
Delivering sustainable projects within policy is now more prevalent but there is significant opportunity to improve. Capital Projects Service is collaborating
with Sustainable City and Climate Change Service to develop a Bristol Capital Sustainability Standard. This will set out a strategic plan for environmental sustainability across the whole of Bristol City Council's capital portfolio. It contains objectives for the portfolio as a whole and guidance to help delivery staff understand the relevance to their projects. It will provide a set of metrics to track the sustainability performance of the capital portfolio. It will provide advice on what individual projects should report on to feed into these metrics. It will provide an approach to addressing sustainability across the lifecycle of a capital project
This is being piloted currently in Capital Strategic Partnership commissions.
I feel we should also consider adding the capital portfolio as a strategic opportunity to support attainment of strategic environmental goals. Public realm, building asset operation, energy creation \& distribution, sustainable transport, ways of working, modern methods of construction can all make significant contributions if embedded consistently in the portfolio with good structures, process and management.
Financial
Impact is 5 as the capital portfolio is currently operating within its 'assumptions'. In short there is sufficient capital to meets its liability. Inflation and the impact on labour and material due to geopolitical factors will place significant strain on budgets and will likely require use of portfolio contingency. Headroom has been created in the MTFP 2023 to protect the ability to meet contractual obligations and high-level aspirations. This includes the impact of the Bristol Beacon additional cost on the

## Capital programme.

An iteration of this was completed in February 23 budget to create additional headroom in the MTFP to manage high level risk over inflation and cost increases in the capital portfolio. In June 2022 Grant Thornton published it's interim Auditors Report on Bristol City Council. The report made several observations on capital delivery and capital spend including that the capital programme historically delivers $75 \%$ of its spend in the final quarter of the financial year. The recommendations and actions made in the report are factored into the responses and actions associated with this risk. Accurate forecasting and highly assured and smooth delivery of the capital portfolio are the key goals for the steps articulated in the Programme and Project Management section below. As part of the contract with the Strategic Capital Partnership, resource and support has been provided to increase training for officers to improve accuracy of current and future forecasting and budget requests for consideration within decision pathways and corporate governance.

## Programme \& Project Management

The capital programme was rated as 'Limited' when internally audited in 2021. Head of Capital Projects developed a new comprehensive delivery framework, lifecycle and standard operating procedure Spring 21 that overlaid with existing BCC governance and Decision Pathway. This was internally audited at the same time and was given a 'Reasonable' assurance level with the steps to make it Substantial being to roll it out for all capital projects, not just Strategic Partner commissions. This is now a Audit management action allocated to the Head of Capital Projects.
All Strategic Partner commissions are using the framework and SOS's. City Transport are adopting as part of the organisational refresh with 5 projects trialling already. Housing Delivery are currently considering pilot schemes for the framework as well.
The need for a Portfolio Management Office set up has been recognised by the organisation to coordinate the portfolio's programmes and sub projects. This will allow far greater level 2 assurance, understanding interconnected risks and issues and the application of the framework across the majority of the portfolio. This will improve reporting, decision making, control and risk management. Capital Projects is working with Change Services to design and implement this capital PMO function. Resource has been a continual issue in delivery of capital programmes and projects. In Feb 21 the Capital Strategic Partner was commissioned. This has enabled quick call off for professional services required for capital delivery. The take up of the Partnership by officers has been greater than initially anticipated. This indicates that key projects and programmes are benefiting from this resource particularly in PM and Programme Management. Demonstrable improvements are seen in the parts of the portfolio with pilots and those that are using the new delivery framework but this score will only be reduced when there is a consistent improvement across

## the whole capital portfolio.

## Reputation

External and internal comms are being factored into all resource requests (mandate, OBC, FBC). There is significant risk capital delivery (Bristol Beacon as an example).I would note that our reputation in the market is also very important. The construction market is volatile and unpredictable at the moment. The Council needs to be considered a client of choice that suppliers want to work with or there is a significant risk that tender responses will be limited with poor value for money implications. Behaviours of commissioners and how the Council communicates its aspiration and values is key to manage this.

## Likelihood

I have reviewed the likelihood against the criteria (specified on Pentana) and believe there is some justification in considering reducing to a Likely level due to the management actions we have in place and the steps we have taken to address PM and Programme Management deficiencies and resource issues. However I have decided to recommend we keep at Almost Certain for review in 3 months' time. We will have had more time to assess the impact of the strategies/actions and have evidence in tangible outputs (completed projects \& programmes) that will evidence the reduction rather than the improvement being only anticipated

-Supply of affordable rented housing reducing -Increasing popularity of Bristol as a city to move to, and associated increased pressure on demand and cost of private rented accommodation

## Risk Consequences: Increase in

homelessness and the number of households in Temporary Accommodation. Expenditure on Temporary Accommodation does not return to pre-pandemic levels and could continue to increase.
introduced new block contracts for some Temporary Accommodation, reducing the cost of TA to the Council. Planning to bring more block contracts online this financial year

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |




| Threat Risk | Trend | Current Risk Assessment |  |  | Risk Tolerance Level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Title: CRR45 - Failure to deliver statutory duty in respect of the safeguarding of children |  | 20 | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 6 | 망 |  |  |








| -Increased financial cost in terms of damage control <br> and insurance costs. <br> -Legal compliance and financial penalty. | $6 . \quad$Service Level Business Continuity Planning - Services will be be <br> developing their BC plans in Q3, aligned to service planning. | and will be presented to Resources EDM on 11th July 2022. <br> (Not in pentane) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -Reputational damage. |  |  |  |



| Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive, Director, Digital Transformation, Service Area Leads. | outage involving multiple systems. <br> 5. Weekly testing of individual systems restore - The restore of individual systems is tested weekly on a rotational basis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance | Summary of Progress: The 1st phase of this project is complete, and we await a decision from CLB in regards to phase 2 restarting in 23/24. |  |
| Strategic Theme: Our Organisation |  |  |



## Increased litigation.

Reputational damage.
Risk Owner(s): Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).

Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and
Performance

Strategic Theme: Our Organisation,
Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing

Summary of Progress: Policy reviews are now taking place for the remaining new policies. Next step is to embed these across the organisation; however, this work will take longer due to recruitment/resourcing challenges.


Risk of legal action/penalties against the Council and individual managers, including possibility of Corporate Manslaughter.
Impact on the reputation of the City Council
Lack of compliance with Health and Safety policies and safe practices, due to pressures of work or lack of training.
Reputational damage
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and Corporate Leadership Board (CLB), Director of Workforce Change.

SHAREPOINT. Discussion with internal audit ove the future of CHaSMs . Will become a yearly assessment September for Corporate Estate and October for Schools, will be linked to service and financial planning cycles to better embed the process. Work will continue on ensuring SMART action plans and better understanding of operational health and safety risks. The revised CHaSMs is due to be sent out in October 2022
4. Fire Safety Management System - Fire Safety Management System is in place and has been piloted. Is ready to be published on SOURCE by 30th March 2022. Once published a number of information sessions will take place to ensure managers and key responsible people understand how to implement system.
5. Health and Well-being plan - Health and Wellbeing plan in place and being implemented
6. New integrated OH, EAP and Physiotherapy contract - New contract in place for a year. Overall is working well there are some red spots (health surveillance) which is currently being contract managed due to delivery.
7. Reorganising the Corporate Health Safety and Wellbeing Team - New job and paperwork completed with business plan and EIA. Currently out for consultation with staff group and TU. Consultation end on 21st March 2022. Jobs will go to evaluation panel on Tuesday 29th, appointment to internal post during April onwards. The consultation process has been completed any because of Councils financial position this is being revised and will probably be implemented in two parts.

Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation

Summary of Progress: The risk score for this remains unchanged at this quarterly review. Progress is being made on some of the key areas of improvement. Progress is slower than anticipated at this time due to staff shortages however it is anticipated that over the next quarter some key areas will have moved from the planning stage to the doing stage.



| as schools close unsustainable nursery provision that impacts on the city's sufficiency plan. | the financial and operational challenges. | Engaging with elected members to review strategic position of nursery schools and how the council can support remodelling and securing future sustainability. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2023 \end{aligned}$ | 45\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Service Director Education and Skills |  | Identifying additional capacity required to support programme of change. Paper being drawn up for Schools Forum to secure additional funding. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2023 \end{aligned}$ | 100\% |
| Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education \& Equalities | Summary of Progress: Q3 outturns show that in-year deficits continue to grow. Overall deficit at end of 2022-23 will be approx. £7.5m. |  |  |  |
| Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing |  |  |  |  |

## Opportunity Risks




and 43 separate actions in line with Environment Agency's national strategy. The Strategy has used outputs from a number of key studies (which identify the risk of flooding to the city) to structure our response to flood risk management, from emergency management to flood mitigation schemes

Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Economy of Place.

## Portfolio Flag: Climate, Ecology, Energy \&

Waste and Strategic Planning, Resilience and Flood Strategy
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.
4. Regular and Emergency Maintenance and Clearing of Gullies and Culverts - especially in advance of storm warnings
5. Ongoing engagement with Civil Protection unit

Summary of Progress: Recruitment process carried out for principal flood officer to lead Frome innovation project. This will ease pressures on the team as a whole. Overall team structure to be considered now to assess how best to move forwards and cover management functions. Risk level remains the same overall.

## External and Civil Contingency Risk

Risk Title: BCCC4 - Winter diseases including COVID-19 and Flu (formerly COVID-19 Population Health)

## Description: Covid 19 poses multiple risks to population health.

Directly from infection; indirectly through social and economic impacts and through pressures on the health and care system. On 21ST Feb 2022 the Gov announced Living with Covid Strategy which includes withdrawal of population testing and contact tracing. Isolation and other compliance is voluntary. New risks are:
compliance is voluntary. New risks are.
Reduced ability to see infection

- $\quad$ Reduced ability to see infection levels of circulating infection
- Harms to high-risk individuals and risks within high
consequence settings
- Emergence of harmful new variant

Risk Causes: Covid 19 poses multiple risks to population health. Directly from infection; indirectly through social and economic impacts; and through pressures on the health and care system. Removal of Covid controls reduces ability to contain infection.

|  | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant |  |


|  | Communication, Engagement, Prevention - <br> including vaccination, Protection - high risk <br> settings and Response and surge <br> preparedness. <br> Weekly Outbreak Management Group <br> replaced by weekly Living With Covid <br> Group. <br> Monthly reports to CLB Gold and regular <br> updates to ELM <br> Regular staff and public bulletins |
| :--- | :--- |

## Risk Scoring Matrix

| Threat Impact OpportunltyImpact <br> (Negative risks) (Positive Rlsk) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Almost certaln | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { (Medlum) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (\mathrm{H} l \mathrm{gh}) \end{gathered}$ | 23 (Critical) | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ \text { (Significant) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ \text { (High) } \end{gathered}$ | 12 (Medlum) | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | Almost certaln |  |
|  | Ukely | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { (Medlum) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ \text { (Hlgh) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (\mathrm{Hlgh}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (H l g h) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ \text { (Hlgh) } \end{gathered}$ | 9 <br> (Medlum) | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | Llkely |  |
|  | Unilikely | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { (Medlum) } \end{gathered}$ | 10 (Medlum) | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{gh}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ \text { (Hlgh) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { (Medlum) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { (Medlum) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | 2 | Unill kely |  |
|  | Rare | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { (Medlum) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { (Medlum) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { (Medlum) } \end{gathered}$ | 5 <br> (Medlum) | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { (Low) } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Rare |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Minor | Moderate | Major | Critical | Exceptional | Slgnificant | Modest | Slight |  |  |  |


| Threat <br> Level | Opportunity <br> Level | Level of Rlsk |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $1-4$ | $1-4$ | Low | May not need any further action/ monitor at the Service level. |
| $5-12$ | $5-12$ | Medium | Action required, manage and monitor at the Directorate level. |
| $14-21$ | $14-21$ | High | Must be addressed - if Directorate level consider escalating to the Corporate Risk Report, if Corporate consider escalating to the Cabinet Lead. |
| 28 | 28 | Critical / <br> Slgnificant | Action required - escalate if a Directorate level risk, escalate to the Corporate Level, if Corporate bring to the attention of the Cabinet Lead to <br> Confirm action to be taken. |

Likelihood And Impact Risk Rating Scoring

## Likelihood Guidance

Likelihood
Likelihood Ratings 1 to 4 1

| Description | Might happen on rare occasions. | Will possibly happen, possibly on several occasions. | Will probably happen, possibly at regular intervals. | Likely to happen, possibly frequently. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numerical Likelihood | Less than 10\% | Less than 50\% | 50\% or more | $75 \%$ or more |


| Impact Category | Impact Levels 1 to 7 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| Service provision | Very limited effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Impact can be managed within normal working arrangements. | Noticeable and significant effect (positive or negative) on service provision. <br> Effect may require some additional resource, but manageable in a reasonable time frame. | Severe effect on service provision or a Corporate Strategic Plan priority area. <br> Effect may require considerable /additional resource but will not require a major strategy change. | Extremely severe service disruption. Significant customer opposition. Legal action. <br> Effect could not be managed within a reasonable time frame or by a short-term allocation of resources and may require major strategy changes. The Council risks 'special measures'. <br> Officer / Member forced to resign. |
| Communities | Minimal impact on community. | Noticeable (positive or negative) impact on the community or a more manageable impact on a smaller number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than six months. | A more severe but manageable impact (positive or negative) on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than twelve months. | A lasting and noticeable impact on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals. |
| Environmental | No effect (positive or negative) on the natural and built environment. | Short term effect (positive or negative) on the natural and or built environment. | Serious local discharge of pollutant or source of community annoyance that requires remedial action. | Lasting effect on the natural and or built environment. |
| Financial Loss / Gain | Under £0.5m | Between £0.5m-£3m | Between £3m - £5m | More than £5m |
| Fraud \& Corruption Loss | Under £50k | Between £50k - £100k | Between £100k - £1m | More than $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Legal | No significant legal implications or action is anticipated. | Tribunal / BCC legal team involvement required (potential for claim). | Criminal prosecution anticipated and / or civil litigation. | Criminal prosecution anticipated and or civil litigation (> 1 person). |
| Personal Safety | Minor injury to citizens or colleagues. | Significant injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues causing short-term disability / absence from work. | Major injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues may result in. long term disability / absence from work. | Death of citizen(s) or colleague(s). <br> Significant long-term disability / absence from work. |
|  | Minor delays and/or budget overspend but can be brought back on schedule with this project stage. <br> No threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget and no threat to identified benefits / outcomes. | Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones, and/or budget overspends. <br> No threat to overall delivery of the project and the identified benefits / outcomes. | Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones; and/or major budget overspends. <br> Major threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget, and achievement of one or more benefits / outcomes. | Significant issues threaten delivery of the entire project. <br> Could lead to project being cancelled or put on hold. |
| Reputation | Minimal and transient loss of public or partner trust. Contained within the individual service. | Significant public or partner interest although limited potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation. <br> Dissatisfaction reported through council complaints procedure but contained within the council. <br> Local MP involvement. <br> Some local media/social media interest. | Serious potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Dissatisfaction regularly reported through council complaints procedure. <br> Higher levels of local or national interest. <br> Higher levels of local media / social media interest. | Highly significant potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. <br> Intense local, national and potentially international media attention. <br> Viral social media or online pick-up. <br> Public enquiry or poor external assessor report. |

